

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/993,824 11/06/2001		Andreas Wittman	476-2024.1	8714	
7	590 01/13/2003				
Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson P.O. Box 2786			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		•	2828		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlingtion	No	Applicant(a)	<del>//-</del>			
•	•	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	K			
Office Action Symmony		09/993,824		WITTMAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		, -,	ael) T Nguyen	2828	Idrana			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 L	December 20	102 .					
2a)[	·	is action is n						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	on of Claims	Ex parte Qui	1910, 1000 0.2. 1	1, 400 0.0. 210.				
4) Claim(s) 15-30 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			Paul	Ŋ			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-30</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) 18 and 27 is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _			mary (PTO-413) Paper No mal Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 2828

### **DETAILED ACTION**

In response to the communications dated 09/11/02 through 12/18/02, claims 15-30 are pending in this application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 15, it is not clear that the Applicant would like to claim the method of manufacturing a semiconductor laser or the method of manufacturing a semiconductor laser facet coating layer.

Furthermore, claims 15 and 21 fail to define as how a PE-CVD processes and controls the process parameters.

With respect to claim 18, it is not clear that S.sub3.N.sub4 is incorrectly printed or not.

With respect to claims 28-30, it is not clear that claims 28-30 are dependent on claim 21 or not.

The remaining claims are dependent on the above rejected claims 15 and 21 and therefore are also rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,824

Art Unit: 2828

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-17 and 9-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno et al. (USPN 6285700) (herein after Ueno) in view of Arao (USPN 6432620) (herein after Arao).

With respect to claims 15, 19-21 and 23, Ueno show in Fig.7 an AlGaAs semiconductor laser emitting at a given wavelength and having an emission facet with a coating layer 1 of a predetermined reflectivity, wherein the coating layer is homogeneous and of a pre-selected thickness (col.11, 1.25-31 and 60-66, TABLE 1). Ueno do not disclose the PE-CVD process and the PE-CVD control. However, Arao teaches a PE-CVD process and a PE-CVD control (col.14, 1.5-24). For the benefit of manufacturing a semiconductor laser coating layer, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Ueno the PE-CVD process and control as taught by Arao.

With respect to claims 17 and 25, Ueno disclose a SiON coating layer refraction index of 1.46-1.96 (1.96 met the claim limitation of at least 1.83) (col.12, table 1) for an AlGaAs laser.

With respect to claim 22, Ueno show in Fig.3B a coupling of the standing wave between the semiconductor body and the coating layer occurs at the minimum of the standing wave.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,824

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 24, Arao discloses a coating layer (film) comprising hydrogenated silicon nitride oxide (col.14, l.5-8).

With respect to claims 16 and 26, Arao discloses the thickness of the hydrogenated silicon nitride oxide coating layer (film) (col.14, l.5-7).

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Citation of The Pertinent References

The following US patents are being made of record, even though they were not relied upon in this Office action, for being similar in subject matter, and may be relied upon in any future Office Actions: USPN 6323052, 6396864, 5710067, 6347107, and 5660895.

### **Communication Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/993,824

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 306-5511 for regular communications and (703) 306-5511 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Nguyen (Michael) Dung January 9, 2003 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800